

Pecyn Dogfennau Cyhoeddus

Aelod Portffolio Priffyrdd

Man Cyfarfod

Dyddiad y Cyfarfod
Dydd Mawrth, 14 Tachwedd 2017

Amser y Cyfarfod
Amser heb ei nodi

I gael rhagor o wybodaeth cysylltwch â
Stephen Boyd
01597 826374
steve.boyd@powys.gov.uk



Neuadd Y Sir
Llandrindod
Powys
LD1 5LG

08/11/17

AGENDA

| | |
|----|---|
| 1. | GORCHYMYN TRAFFIG MEYSYDD PARCIO |
|----|---|

(Tudalennau 1 - 16)

Mae'r dudalen hon wedi'i gadael yn wag yn fwriadol

CYNGOR SIR POWYS COUNTY COUNCIL
PORTFOLIO HOLDER DELEGATED DECISION
by
COUNTY COUNCILLOR LIAM FITZPARTICK
(PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR HIGHWAYS)
November 2017

REPORT AUTHOR: Traffic and Travel Manager

SUBJECT: Car Park Traffic Order

REPORT FOR: Decision

1. Summary

- 1.1 The Medium Term Financial Plan identifies a saving to be made through bringing in additional income from a number of car parks which are currently free to use.
- 1.2 On the 20 December 2016 Cabinet approved the making of a Traffic Regulation Order to implement charges within 9 currently free car parks. This followed a consultation exercise and the subsequent Portfolio Holder decision being called in by the Place Scrutiny Committee.
- 1.3 It was proposed that some of the car parks would be pay and display whilst others would be for residents only. At the request of the new Portfolio Holder for Highways a further review has been undertaken on the proposal.

The 9 car parks where changes were proposed are as follows:

Pay and Display

- Ridgebourne, Llandrindod Wells
- Watergate Street, Llanfair Caereinion
- Station Yard, Talgarth
- Station Yard, Sennybridge
- By-pass, Presteigne

Resident Permit Holders Only

- Market Street, Knighton
- Tremont Road, Llandrindod
- Church Place, Llanidloes
- Orchard Street, Brecon

- 1.4 This report considers the findings of the review of the previous Cabinets decision following additional financial analysis.

2. Proposal

- 2.1 The previous decision to implement charges did not include the consideration of additional enforcement costs. As it was considered there would be no additional costs as there were no proposals to increase in service costs, ie. additional Civil Enforcement Officer resource. However, the alternative assessment considered in this review, is that each car park in the Council's portfolio requires enforcement and this cost should be attributed to any business case analysis for that car park.
- 2.2 Based upon the income estimation of £29,406 from the 9 car parks and an additional £2,275 from income from Penalty Charge notices, the total predicted income of the changes is £31,681. Taking into account the apportioned annual enforcement costs which total £31,026 the net income would amount to only £655. This does not take into account any initial capital outlay to procure and install Pay and display machines and any necessary signage.
- 2.3 Given that the net income prediction of implementing the charges amounts to only £655 per year it is not considered appropriate to pursue the planned introduction of the Traffic Order and subsequent charges there on. It is therefore proposed not to progress the decision made by Cabinet on the 20th December 2016.
- 2.4 The previous decision included for the sale of a residents parking permit to be used within the identified P+D car parks for residents living within an inclusion zone near to the car park. This residents parking permit would be at the same cost of the on street residents permits (£65 per year) and therefore offer a significant saving over a standard annual permit available for use in any long stay car park at £370 per year. This proposal was then going to be offered at existing long stay car parks where nearby residents do not have the availability of off or on street parking. Since this option will now not be offered within the new car parks, it is therefore the proposal to not proceed to offer this to the existing long stay car parks.
- 2.5 If the proposal in 2.3 is supported there will remain a number of Council maintained car parks/parking areas that are free to use without any restriction or control. Some are a town's main car parks such as Station Yard Talgarth whilst others provide a small number of spaces for predominantly for residents within a specific area. Whilst these car parks do not provide any income from vehicles using these car parks the Council is still required to ensure they are adequately maintained so they do not give rise to liability claims. It is considered that a further

review should be undertaken on these car parks to determine how best these are managed or operated in the future to reduce the liability on the Authority.

3. Options Considered / Available

- 3.1 **Option 1** – to implement the charges within the 9 car parks. This would introduce control over the use of the car parks however there is only a small anticipated net income of £655. There has been significant community concern against the charges and this is considered a significant disadvantage when comparing it with the low net income to be generated.
- 3.2 **Option 2** – Not to progress the implementation of charges within the 9 car parks and not to progress the decision to roll out residents permits to become available within existing long stay car parks. The savings target outlined in the MTFS would be achieved as the current car park income is predicting to achieve a greater sum than budgeted and therefore should cover the savings requirement within the MTFS.

4. Preferred Choice and Reasons

- 4.1 It is preferred to implement Option 2 since there is little financial benefit in making the introduction of the unpopular charges within the 9 identified car parks.

5. Impact Assessment

- 5.1 Is an impact assessment required? Yes
- 5.2 If yes is it attached? Yes

6. Corporate Improvement Plan

- 6.1 The £30k saving identified in the MTFS will not be achieved by not implementing the previous Cabinet decision. However only £655 is predicted to be achieved by implementing the Order.

7. Local Member(s)

- 7.1 Comments from Local Members has not been sought since the status quo will be maintained by not implementing the Traffic Order as previously planned.

8. Other Front Line Services

Does the recommendation impact on other services run by the Council or on behalf of the Council? No

If so please provide their comments

9. Communications

Have Communications seen a copy of this report? Yes

Have they made a comment? If Yes insert here.

The report is of public interest and requires use of proactive news release and social media to publicise the decision.

10. Support Services (Legal, Finance, Corporate Property, HR, ICT, Business Services)

10.1 The Highways and Enforcement Solicitor has no comment on the report.

10.2 The Finance Business Partner for Places notes the content of report, there was an MTFs saving of £30k brought forward from 15/16 for introducing permit charging on council owned car parks where parking is currently free. However this has been achieved through increased usage and less displacement than original anticipated when existing car parking prices were increased.

The costing included within the review include for the full cost recovery position, however it should be noted that that the current cost of the enforcement establishment is fully funded and if the additional enforcement requirements could be carried out by the existing establishment the financial benefit could be greater.

Although we have delivered this saving through existing car parking fees, if we did implement this new scheme the additional income would contribute to 2018/19 savings targets of £175k.

11. Scrutiny

Has this report been scrutinised? No

If Yes what version or date of report has been scrutinised?

Please insert the comments.

What changes have been made since the date of Scrutiny and explain why Scrutiny recommendations have been accepted or rejected?

12. Statutory Officers

(

The Strategic Director Resources (S151 Officer) notes the comments made by finance.

The Solicitor to the Council (Monitoring Officer) has commented as follows: "I note the legal comment and have nothing to add to the report".

13. Members' Interests

The Monitoring Officer is not aware of any specific interests that may arise in relation to this report. If the Portfolio Holder has an interest he should declare it, complete the relevant notification form and refer the matter to the cabinet for decision.

| Recommendation: | Reason for Recommendation: |
|---|--|
| 1 Not to progress the decision of Cabinet on the 20 December 2016 to make the traffic regulation order to implement control and charges within the 9 identified car parks. | 1. The result of the further financial review indicating the marginal cost benefit of the changes and having regard to the representations made during the initial consultation period of the proposed TRO's. |
| 2 Not to progress the decision to roll out residents permits to become available within existing long stay car parks | 2. To maintain existing arrangements |
| 3 To undertake a review of all non pay and display car park assets to determine how best these are managed in the future. | 3. Ensure Authority is making best use of property and reviews existing liabilities |

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Relevant Policy (ies): | | | |
| Within Policy: | Yes | Within Budget: | Yes |

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Relevant Local Member(s): | Cllr D Meredith Cllr Edwin Roderick Cllr Peter Roberts Cllr Gary Price Cllr Gareth Jones |
|----------------------------------|---|

| | |
|--|---|
| | Cllr William Powell Cllr Beverly Baynham Cllr Ange Williams Cllr Gareth Morgan |
|--|---|

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Person(s) To Implement Decision: | Tony Caine |
| Date By When Decision To Be Implemented: | Immediate upon decision being made. |

| | |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Contact Officer: | Tony Caine |
| Tel: | 01597 826652 |
| Email: | tony.caine@powys.gov.uk |

Background Papers used to prepare Report:

Cabinet 20th December 2017 report 'Car Park Traffic Order 2016' and minutes

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

This Impact Assessment (IA) toolkit incorporating Welsh Language, Equalities, Well-being of Future Generations Act, Sustainable Development Principles, Communication and Engagement, Safeguarding, Corporate Parenting, Community Cohesion and Risk Management supporting effective decision making and ensuring compliance with respective legislation. Please read the accompanying guidance before completing the form.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Service Area | HTR | Head of Service | Nigel Brinn | Strategic Director | Paul Griffiths | Portfolio Holder | Liam Fitzpatrick |
| Policy / Change Objective / Budget Saving | Introduce charging in public car parks that are currently free to use. | | | | | | |
| Outline Summary | The Medium Term Financial Strategy 2015-18 placed a requirement to introduce permit charging on identified Council owned parking areas where parking is currently free. This was not achieved during 2015-16 and has thus been rolled forward to 2016-17. Cabinet on the 20 December 2016 approved the making of a Traffic Order following consultations to introduce charges within 9 car parks. However following the elections in May 2017 and the formation of a new Cabinet an alternative financial review of the proposal was undertaken. This revision is therefore to propose not implementing the previous Cabinet's decision and not introduce the charges at this time. | | | | | | |

1. Version Control (services should consider the impact assessment early in the development process and continually evaluate)

| Version | Author | Job Title | Date |
|---------|------------|----------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Tony Caine | Traffic and Travel Manager | 30/08/2017 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

2. How does your policy / change objective / budget saving impact on the council's strategic vision?

| Council Priority | How does the policy / change objective impact on this priority? | Inherent Judgement <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| Services delivered for less | This would maintain existing arrangements | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Supporting people in the community | Residents and visitors using the Identified car parks would continue to utilise them for free | Good | | Choose an item. | |

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council
Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

| Council Priority | How does the policy / change objective impact on this priority? | Inherent Judgement Please select from drop down box below | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>(after mitigation)</small> Please select from drop down box below | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Developing the economy | Whilst charging could potentially lead to reduction in the number of visitors maintain their free status would not have any impact upon existing situation. | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Learning | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |

3. How does your policy / change objective / budget saving impact on the Welsh Assembly's well-being goals?

| Well-being Goal | How does the policy / change objective contribute this goal? | Inherent Judgement <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>(after mitigation)</small> <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| <p>A prosperous Wales: An innovative, productive and low carbon society which recognises the limits of the global environment and therefore uses resources efficiently and proportionately (including acting on climate change); and which develops a skilled and well-educated population in an economy which generates wealth and provides employment opportunities, allowing people to take advantage of the wealth generated through securing decent work.</p> | <p>Whilst introducing charges could potentially result in some users considering more sustainable modes of transport and encourage active travel for short journeys as opposed to making the journey by car, maintain their free status may have a negative impact upon this. However since charges would impact greater on those of low income and may affect people's choice in entering employment within the affected towns maintaining them as free car parks would not impact upon this.</p> | <p>Neutral</p> | | <p>Choose an item.</p> | |
| <p>A resilient Wales: A nation which maintains and enhances a biodiverse natural environment with healthy functioning ecosystems that support social, economic and ecological resilience and the capacity to adapt to change (for example climate change).</p> | <p>No impact</p> | <p>Neutral</p> | | <p>Choose an item.</p> | |
| <p>A healthier Wales: A society in which people's physical and mental well-being is maximised and in which choices and behaviours that benefit future health are understood.</p> | <p>Introducing charges may result in some users considering more sustainable modes of transport and encourage active travel for short journeys as opposed to making the journey by car. This may therefore support the objectives of the Active Travel [Wales] Act 2013 to encourage people to leave their cars behind and walk/cycle where suitable to do so. Abandoning the charges will therefore have no change upon this goal over existing arrangements.</p> | <p>Neutral</p> | | <p>Choose an item.</p> | |

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

| Well-being Goal | How does the policy / change objective contribute this goal? | Inherent Judgement Please select from drop down box below | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>(after mitigation)</small> Please select from drop down box below | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| A Wales of cohesive communities: Attractive, viable, safe and well-connected Communities. | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| A globally responsible Wales: A nation which, when doing anything to improve the economic, social, environmental and cultural well-being of Wales, takes account of whether doing such a thing may make a positive contribution to global well-being. | Introducing charges may result in some users considering more sustainable modes of transport and encourage active travel for short journeys as opposed to making the journey by car. This could have assisted in reducing carbon emissions reducing our carbon footprint. Reversing the decision to charge will maintain existing levels of usage and thus CO2 emissions would remain the same | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| A Wales of vibrant culture and thriving recreation. | | | | | |
| <i>Opportunities for persons to use the Welsh language</i> | Signage and P+D machines would be bilingual allowing users to use Welsh. Abandoning the charges will remove this ability since the signs/machine will not be installed. | Poor | | Choose an item. | |
| <i>Treating the Welsh language no less favourable than the English language</i> | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| <i>Opportunities to promote the Welsh language</i> | Signage and P+D machines would be bilingual thus promoting the language. Abandoning the charges will remove this ability since the signs/machine will not be installed. | Poor | | Choose an item. | |
| <i>Welsh Language impact on staff</i> | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| <i>People are encouraged to do sport, art and recreation.</i> | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| A more equal Wales: A society that enables people to fulfil their potential no matter what their background or circumstances (including their socio economic background and circumstances). | | | | | |

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

| Well-being Goal | How does the policy / change objective contribute this goal? | Inherent Judgement <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>(after mitigation)</small> <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Age | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Disability | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Gender reassignment | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Marriage or civil partnership | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Race | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Religion or belief | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Sex | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Sexual Orientation | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Pregnancy and Maternity | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |

4. How does your policy / change objective / budget saving impact on the council's other key guiding principles?

| Principle | How does the policy / change objective impact on this principle? | Inherent Judgement <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement <small>(after mitigation)</small> <small>Please select from drop down box below</small> | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Sustainable Development Principle (5 ways of working) | | | | | |
| Long Term: <i>The importance of balancing short-term needs with the need to safeguard the ability to also meet long-term needs.</i> | Abandoning the charges may affect the ability to keep the facility fully maintained to a high standard. It will also remove control within the car park limiting how it is utilised. | Poor | | Choose an item. | |

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council
Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

| Principle | How does the policy / change objective impact on this principle? | Inherent Judgement Please select from drop down box below | Residual Judgement (after mitigation) Please select from drop down box below | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Collaboration: Acting in collaboration with any other person (or different parts of the body itself) that could help the body to meet its well-being objectives. | No impact | Neutral | Choose an item. | |
| Involvement (including Communication and Engagement): The importance of involving people with an interest in achieving the well-being goals, and ensuring that those people reflect the diversity of the area which the body serves. | No impact | Neutral | Choose an item. | |
| Prevention: How acting to prevent problems occurring or getting worse may help public bodies meet their objectives. | The introduction of charges may have increased inconsiderate or illegal parking within the surrounding area and thus have an increased call for enforcement by the Police to take action for obstruction or dangerous parking. Displaced vehicles may have migrated on street in residential areas reducing availability for those residents and their visitors. Abandoning the charges will not see this occurring. | Good | Choose an item. | |
| Integration: Considering how the public body's well-being objectives may impact upon each of the well-being goals, on their other objectives, or on the objectives of other public bodies. | No impact | Neutral | Choose an item. | |

| Principle | How does the policy / change objective impact on this principle? | Inherent Judgement Please select from drop down box below | What will be done to better contribute to positive or mitigate any negative impacts? | Residual Judgement Please select from drop down box below | Source of Outline Evidence to support judgement |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| Preventing Poverty: Prevention, including helping people into work and mitigating the impact of poverty. | The charging may have impacted greater on those of low income and may affect people's choice in entering employment within the affected towns. Abandoning the charges will mean this will not occur | Good | Annual or seasonal permits are available for use in all long stay car parks. These offer substantial discounts to regular users over the daily charges. Additionally residents within a qualifying zone will be able to purchase cheaper residents permits at £65 equating to £1.25 per week. | Neutral | |
| Safeguarding: Preventing and responding to abuse and neglect of children, young people and adults with health and social care needs who can't protect themselves. | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Corporate Parenting: Enabling our looked after children to fulfil their potential. | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |
| Impact on PCC Workforce | No impact | Neutral | | Choose an item. | |

5. Achievability of Policy / Change Objective / Budget Saving?

| Impact on Service / Council | Risk to delivery of Policy / Change Objective / Budget Saving | Inherent Risk |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| Low | Low | Low |

6. What are the risks to service delivery or the council following implementation of this Policy / Change Objective / Budget Saving?

| Description of risks |
|---|
| Reduced income and not achieving savings identified in the MTFS |
| |
| |

Cyngor Sir Powys County Council Impact Assessment (IA)



The integrated approach to support effective decision making

| | | |
|---|-----------|---|
| Judgement (to be included in service risk register) | | |
| Very High Risk | High Risk | Medium Risk |
| | | Low Risk |
| Mitigating Actions | | LOW RISK Residual Risk (after mitigation) |
| Does the Policy / Change Objective / Budget Saving have potential to impact on another service area? Potentially, the savings identified within the MTFs will not be met or contributed to. However income predictions show income is exceeding the target and therefore should meet this requirement already from within the service. | | |

7. Overall Summary and Judgement of this Impact Assessment?

| | |
|---|---------------------------|
| Outline Assessment (to be inserted in cabinet report) | Cabinet Report Reference: |
| Whilst the reversal of the decision to implement charges may have some positive and negative aspects it is essentially maintaining the current situation and therefore has no overall impact. | |

8. Is there additional evidence to support the Impact Assessment (IA)?

| |
|--|
| What additional evidence and data has informed the development of your proposal? none |
|--|

9. On-going monitoring arrangements?

| |
|--|
| What arrangements will be put in place to monitor the impact over time? Monitor car park income |
|--|

Please state when this Impact Assessment will be reviewed.

12 months after implementation of the decision.

10. Sign Off

| | |
|----------|------|
| Position | Date |
|----------|------|

| | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|--|------------|
| Service Manager: | Tony Caine | | 26/09/2017 |
| Head of Service: | Nigel Brinn | | 20/10/2017 |
| Strategic Director: | Paul Griffiths | | 25/10/2017 |
| Portfolio Holder: | Liam Fitzpatrick | | 3/11/2017 |

FORM ENDS

